



canso.org

**REGIONAL FOCUS** 

#### Accident and Incident Investigation for the Latin America and Caribbean Region

Wednesday 21, 28 July and 4 August 2021 08:00 – 09:30 EDT





#### **Mr. Shayne Campbell**

Safety Programme Manager

CANSO





#### Mr. Jason Demagalski

Human Performance Team Manager

FAA



#### Reflection

# JUST DO WHAT You're supposed to

VERONICA ROTH

*"It is unacceptable to conclude an investigation of an event with the statement: 'If only the flight crew or maintenance crew had done what they were supposed to do'"* 

#### Phil Condit, Former CEO, Boeing 1994



#### Memory

- Memory is necessary to:
  - Perceive what our senses detect

CANS

- Help us select the right decision
- Implement actions
- The way you store information in memory influences how well, and how quickly, you can remember it later
- One type of memory is time and capacity limited



#### Long Term Memory

- Long term memory (LTM) has an almost unlimited capacity and has a time-frame from a few seconds to several years (perhaps permanently).
- Episodic LTM relates to personal narratives such as where you went on holiday last year, or what you had for breakfast.
- Semantic LTM holds general, factual information about the world, including concepts, rules and procedures, and language.



#### **Cognitive Biases**

Expectation Bias	<b>Confirmation Bias</b>	Automation Bias
Tendency to perceive what we expect to perceive	Tendency to search for information that confirms your preconceptions	Tendency to make errors when decisions are handled by automated aids
You expect a pilot to repeat back the altitude you just provided "Climb and maintain 1500" The pilot actually repeats back a different altitude "Roger, climb and maintain 1900"	You tell your supervisor all runways are clear, but he asks you to scan again You know the runways are clear, but you do the scan anyway and fail to see a maintenance truck moving onto the runway	Omission errors occur when you don't notice when the automation failed (e.g., TCAS does not alert you to a conflict) Commission errors occur when you don't notice an active mistake by the automation (e.g.,TCAS incorrectly alerts a conflict and you respond without verifying the conflict)
But what you perceive is 1500, not 1900		



#### Human Error

• We all make errors of different kinds

- An unintentional action
- An unintentional decision
- A mistake in processing information
- A lapse in situation awareness
- A miscue due to cognitive interruption
- Error is not intentional
- Errors often result from poor system design, procedures, or insufficient training





Errors are consequences not causes... Discovering an error is the beginning of a search for causes, not the end. Only by understanding the circumstances ... can we hope to limit the chances of their recurrence.

-James Reason

# IT SAID PUT IT IN THE

•

# AT 120 DEGREES

#### CANSO Why Do We Make

External Causes
<ul> <li>Poor environmental conditions</li> <li>Inadequate human engineering design</li> <li>Inadequate training</li> <li>Poor supervision</li> <li>Technological changes</li> <li>Task or procedure changes</li> </ul>



#### **Reason's Swiss-Cheese Model**





#### Willful Violations

SPEED

LIMIT

YOUR SPEED

- Willful and knowing disregard of the rules, regulations, policies, or procedures
- Deliberate intent and motivation
- Bending of the rulesAdaptations







#### Signs of Potentially Biased Thinking by Investigators



That was a stupid decision

They should have seen that coming a mile away



#### **Investigator Biases**

 Hindsight bias: Knowing the outcome of an event has an influence on the way the analysis is done.

- -Attribution bias: A tendency to infer causes of behaviours (e.g., to link an operator's error to an operator's incompetence).
- Frequency bias: to over (or under) estimate the probability of occurrence of a particular event.
- -Selectivity bias: to ignore those facts which do not quite fit the pattern expected.
- -Group conformity: to agree with the majority decisions in the group of investigators



#### **Outcome Bias**

Our evaluations of others' decisions are disproportionately influenced by outcome

- Many decisions are sub-optimal, but...
- Sometimes a bad decision works out and
- Sometimes a good decision leads to disaster

#### **Issues with Current and Future State Operational Levels**



	Normal	Draw Down Posture	Near-Term Ramp Up	Long-Term Ramp Up
Traffic Levels & Complexity	<ul> <li>Normal traffic levels</li> <li>Normal complexity levels</li> <li>Normal GA/commercial mix</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced levels</li> <li>Reduced complexity</li> <li>Flipped GA/commercial mix</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ramp up in traffic levels</li> <li>Relative complexity increase</li> <li>Increased commercial</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Slow return to increased traffic levels and complexity</li> <li>Increased commercial</li> </ul>
Facility Staffing Levels	<ul> <li>Normal/full op staffing</li> <li>Normal Team Resource Mgmt (TRM) mix</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lower op staffing levels required</li> <li>Large combined sectors</li> <li>Different TRM mix</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase in op staffing levels</li> <li>Combined sectors</li> <li>Return to some previous TRM mix</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Full op staffing levels</li> <li>Return to normal TRM mix</li> </ul>
Work Schedules	<ul> <li>Rotating five day schedule</li> <li>Rotating six day schedule</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rotating, five in facility and ten out of facility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rotating, five in facility and five out of facility</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Rotating five day schedule</li> <li>Rotating six day schedule</li> </ul>
Safety Risk Mgmt	<ul> <li>Known hazards, managed risks</li> <li>Safety assurance</li> <li>The second result of the seco</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Known hazards, managed risks</li> <li>New hazards, new risks</li> <li>New mitigations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Known hazards, managed risks</li> <li>New hazards, new risks</li> <li>New mitigations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Known hazards, managed risks</li> <li>New hazards, new risks</li> <li>New mitigations</li> </ul>
Controller Performance	<ul> <li>High cognitive engagement</li> <li>High human performance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lower engagement</li> <li>Different teams</li> <li>Complacency</li> <li>Skill automation loss</li> <li>Slips, lapses</li> <li>Lifestyle changes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increasing cognitive engagement</li> <li>Skill/learning degradation</li> <li>Lifestyle changes</li> <li>Fatigue</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High cognitive engagement</li> <li>High human performance</li> <li>New habits</li> <li>Proficiency/currency drift</li> </ul>



# Human Factors Analysis of Significant Event

#### **Factual Event Timeline**



16:55

**C**ANSO



#### Air Traffic Analysis and Classification System (AirTracs)



#### AirTracs

Version 4.0

Operator Actions			Operat	tor Cont	text	Facility Influences	
			Controller Workspace		Controller Readiness	Superv	isory Planning / Preparation
	Acts	Physica	Environment	Cogniti	ve and Physiological Factors	SP01	Facility Procedures
Sensory	/	PE01	Workstation / Work Area	CPF01	Working Memory / Distraction	a	SOPS
Se01	Auditory Perception	PE02	Lighting	CPF02	Workload	b	LOAs
Se02	Visual Perception	PE03	Noise Interference		a High Workload		Checklists / Manuals
Se03	Temporal Perception	PE04	Vision Restricted		b Low Workload	SP02	Staffing
Decisio	n			CPF03	Complacency / Vigilance	SP03	Equipment Readiness
De01	Alert Comprehension	Technol	logical Environment	CPF04	Automation Reliance	SP04	Training
De02	Knowledge / Planning	TE01	Communication Equipment	CPF05	Expectation Bias	Superv	isory Operations
De03	Prioritization	TE02	Display / Interface	CPF06	Fatigue		Sector Combination
De04	Tool / Equipment Use	TE03	Software / Automation	Knowle	edge / Experience	5002	Position Combination
Executio	on	TE04	Warnings / Alerts	KE01	On-the-Job Training/Developmental	5003	Controller Assignment
Ex01	Controller Technique	TE05	Data Block	KE02	Trainer Intervention	5004	Oversight / Assistance
Ex02	Attention Act	TE06	Flight Progress Strips	KE03	CPC Experience		Sector/Airport Configuration
Ex03	Communication Act	TE07	Field Equipment	KE04	Unfamiliar Task/Procedure		Supervisory Coordination
Ex04			Interactions		a Intra-Facility		
		Airport Conditions Aircraft Actions		b	Inter-Facility		
	Violation	APC01	Combined Positions	AA01	Deviation	Traffic	Management Unit
Willful	Violations APC02 Ground Vehicle Traffic		a Procedures		TM01 Weather Response		
V01	Willful Violations	APC03	Aircraft Traffic		b ATC Instructions / Clearance		Special Use Airspace
		APC04	Airport Weather	AA02	Unexpected Aircraft Performance		Traffic Management Initiative
		_	Visibility / IMC	AA03	Aircraft Equipment/System Operation		Traffic Regulation / Delivery
(	Outside Influence		Wind	AA04	Responding to Abnormal Situation	11110-0	trancingulation / bentery
0.04	Airline Influences		Other Weather	AA04	Go Around		
	Military Influences		Signage/Lighting/Ground Markings	AA05	Flight Planning		Agency Influences
	Contract Towers		Construction	AA06 AA07			
			Layout/Design		TCAS RA Response unication	Decour	co Management
0104	Other Influences		Runway Conditions	CC01			ce Management Equipment/Facility Resources
Olaa	other innuences	_		CCOI	Controller-Flight Deck Communication		
			e Conditions		a Readback / Hearback		Human Resources
	Outcome		Combined Sectors		b Phraseology / Call Sign	~ .	Climate
	ASC02	2 Combined Positions		<ul> <li>Information / Clearance</li> </ul>		AC01 Culture	
	Description		Sector Traffic		d Frequency Congestion		Policy
0	No Event		a Traffic Level		e Responsiveness		ional Process
1	Near Airspace Violation		Traffic Complexity	CC02	Controller-Controller Communication		Procedures / Operations
2	Airspace Violation		VFR Traffic		a Position Relief Briefing		NAS Procedures (7110.65)
3	Near LOSS / RI		Restricted Airspace		b Handoff / Point-Out		Charts / Routes (STAR, SID)
4	LOSS / RI	1000	Sector Weather/Turbulence		c Aircraft Information		Oversight
5	Collision	ASC05	Sector Design		d Phraseology	OP03	Response to Event / Report





CANSO



#### **United Airlines Dispatch**



- Two UAL dispatchers independently assigned the same call sign of UAL541T to two different flights
- Lack of training on potential impact of assigning duplicate call signs
- Lack of procedures to crosscheck call signs for duplication



#### Tower



- Duplicate call signs occur frequently at the tower
  - Aircraft will remain in system after landing
  - Tower controllers force the system to drop the data block
- Lack of standard procedure for handling duplicate call signs or data blocks in CARTS

- CARTS allows controllers at a facility to drop a data block not under his or her control



#### Tower



- Local 2 misinterpreted CARTS display of UAL541T duplicate call signs
  - Believed the UAL541T had already landed but remained in the system
- Local 2 did not coordinate UAL541T with Local 1
  - Local 1 was responsible but did not have time to investigate
  - Local 2 wanted to help Local 1 during a busy time



#### Tower



- Local 2 forced dropped the inbound UAL541T data block from CARTS
  - Performed a "track-drop-slant(\)-ok" command





- Initial activation of Fusion system resulted in many issues of ghosting
  - Occurred approximately 1 to 2 times a day
- Ghosting issue coupled with frequency of occurrence resulted in TRACON controllers' expectation bias
  - Misdiagnosed UAL541T limited data block as ghost target





 Lack of TRACON controller training and briefings on automation reliability and limitations

- Difficult for controller to adequately identify and respond to data block dropping out of system

- Radar Controller was not using flight progress strips
  - Flight progress strips serve as short-term memory aid
- FLM did not staff the flight data or radar associate positions
  - Primary airport Area is short-staffed
  - Primary airport Area controllers work more overtime than other TRACON areas



#### **TRACON Controller**



- Unbalanced arrival distribution of Radar Controller arrivals
  - Maximum of 60 aircraft per hour
  - Believed more than 15 aircraft entered sector in 15 minutes prior to event
- Sector weather led to compression of finals
- Resulting in high workload during busy period
  - Combination of weather and unbalanced arrival distribution





- Radar controller did not respond to initial UAL541T checkin
  - Attention was focused on compressed finals
- Radar controller was anticipating a reduction in workload with the end of a traffic rush
  - UAL541T was last in stream
  - Did not request radar associate assist





- Radar controllers were investigating the believed ghost target (UAL541T)
- FLM did not check the system to confirm or deny the status of ghost target
  - Drawn into tactical discussion with controllers





- Radar controller did not respond to UAL541T traffic query
  - Attention was focused discussion of believed ghost target
- Conflict alert did not activate
  - None of the activation parameters were met
- Radar controller identified ghost target as UAL541T dropped data block
- UAL541T in slow overtake situation with SKY6335
  - Significant loss of separation minima event



#### **Other Influences**



- Flightcrew of Flexjet did not notify ACT of observed overtake
   Informed ATC after the event of awareness of unsafe situation
- Neither UAL541T nor SKW6335 received a TCAS-RA
  - None of the activation parameters were met

## THANK YOU



SHAPING OUR FUTURE SKIES





# **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**





#### **Mr. Shayne Campbell**

Safety Programme Manager

CANSO

#### Next webinars

- Wednesday 28 July: Event Investigation Process (Microsoft Teams) invitations sent by Chime Agu
- Wednesday 4 August: Accident Investigation and Transition from Compliance to Risk

08:00-09:30 EDT // 12:00-13:30 UTC

## THANK YOU



SHAPING OUR FUTURE SKIES